

# **NUMERICAL MODELING OF ACOUSTIC PROPAGATION IN A VARIABLE SHALLOW WATER WAVEGUIDE**

Daniel Rouseff  
Applied Physics Laboratory  
College of Ocean and Fishery Sciences  
University of Washington  
1013 NE 40th Street  
Seattle, WA 98105  
email: rouseff@apl.washington.edu  
Telephone: (206) 685-3078 Fax: (206) 543-6785  
Award: N00014-96-1-0152

Shallow-water acoustics

## **LONG-TERM GOALS**

The long term scientific goal is to better understand the effects of shallow water variability on acoustic propagation at moderate frequencies. Potential sources of water column variability include random background internal waves as well as quasi-deterministic, event-like soliton packets.

## **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of the present work are to develop simulation routines and data analysis tools useful in achieving the long-term scientific goal.

## **APPROACH**

Acoustic propagation is simulated using both the parabolic equation and normal modes. Environmental measurements and models are incorporated in the simulations.

## **WORK COMPLETED**

Under previous support, a suite of computer routines were developed to study the combined effects on acoustic propagation of roughness in the random sea surface and the water-sediment interface, as well as water column variability [D. Rouseff and T. E. Ewart, 1995]. Under current funding, these numerical models continued to be improved and found greater application. Emphasis was on shallow water simulations at frequencies between 200 Hz and 2 kHz. Siderius and Rouseff studied the effects of bottom roughness on acoustic mode coupling and the implications of this coupling for solving acoustic inverse problems. Specific results showed how as the degree of roughness is increased an inversion can be improved by adding additional sources. These results were an integral part of a graduate student Ph.D. dissertation [M. Siderius, 1996] and were presented at an Acoustical Society Meeting [D. Rouseff and M. Siderius, 1996]. A mode coupling analysis was developed to study the concept of an acoustic guide source to compensate for multipathing [M. Siderius, D. R. Jackson, D. Rouseff, and R. P. Porter, 1997]. The simulation tools were also applied to the study of "time-reversed," or "phase conjugate," arrays. A unique feature of the simulations was that the sea surface was modeled "as

| Report Documentation Page  |                                    |                                     |   | Form Approved<br>OMB No. 0704-0188                  |                                 |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|
| Public reporting burden for the collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington VA 22202-4302. Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to a penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number. |                                    |                                     |   |   |                                 |
| 1. REPORT DATE<br><b>30 SEP 1997</b>   |                                    | 2. REPORT TYPE                      |   | 3. DATES COVERED<br><b>00-00-1997 to 00-00-1997</b> |                                 |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE<br><b>Numerical Modeling of Acoustic Propagation in a Variable Shallow Water Waveguide</b>   |                                    |                                     |   | 5a. CONTRACT NUMBER                                 |                                 |
|  |                                    |                                     |   | 5b. GRANT NUMBER                                    |                                 |
|  |                                    |                                     |   | 5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER                          |                                 |
| 6. AUTHOR(S)   |                                    |                                     |   | 5d. PROJECT NUMBER                                  |                                 |
|  |                                    |                                     |   | 5e. TASK NUMBER                                     |                                 |
|  |                                    |                                     |   | 5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER                                |                                 |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)<br><b>University of Washington, Applied Physics Laboratory, 1013 N.E. 40th Street, Seattle, WA, 98105</b>   |                                    |                                     |   | 8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER            |                                 |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)  |                                    |                                     |   | 10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)                    |                                 |
|  |                                    |                                     |   | 11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)              |                                 |
| 12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT<br><b>Approved for public release; distribution unlimited</b>  |                                    |                                     |   |   |                                 |
| 13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES  |                                    |                                     |   |   |                                 |
| 14. ABSTRACT   |                                    |                                     |   |   |                                 |
| 15. SUBJECT TERMS  |                                    |                                     |   |   |                                 |
| 16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:  |                                    |                                     | 17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT<br><b>Same as Report (SAR)</b> | 18. NUMBER OF PAGES<br><b>3</b>                     | 19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON |
| a. REPORT<br><b>unclassified</b>   | b. ABSTRACT<br><b>unclassified</b> | c. THIS PAGE<br><b>unclassified</b> |   |   |                                 |

seen" by the acoustics; that is, the temporal evolution of the sea surface was included with the height at a given range selected based on the phase speed of the acoustic wave. This allowed the combined effects of a rough sea surface, a rough bottom, and finite processing time to be studied [T. E. Ewart, D. Rouseff and D. R. Jackson, 1996].

Later in FY97, the emphasis of the work shifted towards modeling and data analysis of the 1995 Shallow Water Acoustics Random Medium (SWARM 95) experiment [PI: M. Orr, NRL; Apel et al., 1997]. The summer of 1997 was spent as a Visiting Scientist at NRL-DC.

## **RESULTS**

Using CTD casts and bathymetric measurements taken during SWARM, acoustic propagation was simulated. At short ranges, there is repeated interaction with both the sea surface and the bottom. At more distant ranges, energy largely hugs the bottom with minimal interaction with the sea surface.

A modal analysis was initiated of SWARM data taken along the 32 element NRL array located 42 km downrange. Both the 224 Hz and 400 Hz data were considered for the same time windows. Because the 224 Hz source was located near the bottom, mode one was only weakly excited. The 224 Hz data showed a strong mode one response, possibly evidence of mode coupling. Three separate versions of mode filtering were implemented: a quadrature scheme that approximates the modal orthogonality condition, a least-squares solution, and a filter that infers the mode one structure directly from early arriving part of the acoustic field. For the time windows considered, the results from the three methods were consistent. Modal decorrelation times of between one and two minutes were observed. This is generally consistent with what was observed along the Woods Hole array located closer to the sources [Headrick, 1997].

## **IMPACT/APPLICATIONS**

Random variability in the water column affects the temporal and spatial correlation scales of the acoustic field. A capability to model the effects of randomness is essential for data analysis and planning future experiments.

## **TRANSITIONS**

An improved understanding of the temporal and spatial acoustic correlation scales may aid in predicting system performance in shallow water.

## **RELATED PROJECTS**

Investigators at other institutions including NRL, WHOI and the Naval Postgraduate School are involved in SWARM analysis.

## **REFERENCES**

J. R. Apel et al., "An overview of the 1995 SWARM shallow-water internal wave acoustic scattering experiment," IEEE J. of Oceanic Eng. 22, pp. 465-500, 1997.

T. E. Ewart, D. Rouseff and D. R. Jackson "Conjugate mirror array performance in the presence of surface and bottom roughness," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 99(4), p. 2572, 1996.

R. H. Headrick, "Analysis of internal wave induced mode coupling effects on the 1995 SWARM experiment acoustic transmissions," Ph.D. Thesis, MIT, 1997.

D. Rouseff and T. E. Ewart, "Effect of random sea surface and bottom roughness on propagation in shallow water," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 98, pp. 3397-3404, 1995.

D. Rouseff and M. Siderius, "Inversion techniques for characterizing a coupled mode acoustic waveguide," J. Acoust. Soc. Am. 99(4), p. 2449, 1996.

T. M. Siderius, "Guide source applications for solving forward and inverse scattering problems in ocean acoustics," Ph.D Thesis, University of Washington, 1996.

T. M. Siderius, D. R. Jackson, D. Rouseff, and R. P. Porter, "Multipath compensation in shallow water environments using a virtual receiver," in review, 1997.